Case 1 2 3 4 5	2 2:07-cr-00378-PSG Document 10 Filed 01/23/09 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:25 LERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT JAN 2 3 2009 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
· 8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
10	Plaintiff, CASE NO. CR07-378
11	V.
12	Eduardo Chaver-Gambog ORDER OF DETENTION
13 14	Defendant.
15	
16	I. A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:
17	C · lawar
18	and the second of the imprisonment or death
19	2 () and an approximate of the service of the service with maximum sentence
20 21	C
22	c. 1 the defendant has been convicted of two or more
23	cc Jagarihad ahaya
24	c 1 and that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a
2:	
2	or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18
2	
2	B. On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case
	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))

- 11	
Cas 1	e 2:07-cr-00378-PSG Document 10 Filed 01/23/09 Page 2 of 4 Page ID #:26 allegedly involving:
2	On the further allegation by the Government of:
3	1. a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
4	2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:
5	a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
6	b. () threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or
. 7	attempt to do so.
8	C. The Government () is/(x) is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
9	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
10	appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.
11	
12	II.
13	A. The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
14	reasonably assure:
15	1. () the appearance of the defendant as required.
16	
17	
18	
19	evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.
20	
21	III.
22	!
23	1
2	
2	1
2	
2	
2	D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.

Case	2:07-cr-00378-PSG Document 10 Filed 01/23/09 Page 3 of 4 Page ID #:27 IV.
1	The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the
2	arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services
3	Report/recommendation.
4	Report/recommendation.
5	V.
6	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
7	A. (1) As to flight risk: THE COURT BOXES ITS FINDING ON
8	(1) THE DEFENDANT'S UNPOCUMENTED STATUS; (2) ABSONCE OF
9	Venified Background information RILATED TO THE DEFENDEN
11	(3) Dets NOWT'S Lack of Bail RESOURCES; AND (4) THE
12	DEFENDENT'S USS OF A FOILS IDENTIFICATION.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Doing Wind Wind
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	2
23	3
2	VI.
2	A. () The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:
2	1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
2	2. () attempt to/() threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.
2	.8
	ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))
	Page 3 of 4 CR-94 (06/07)

Case	2:07-cr-00378-PSG Document 10 Filed 01/23/09 Page 4 of 4. Page ID #:28
1	2:07-cr-00378-PSG Document 10 Filed 01/23/09 Page 4 of 4. Page ID #:28 B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
8	
9	VII.
10	
11	A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.
12	B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the
13	custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility
14	separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving
15	sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	1
21	1
22	
2:	
2	
2	1/12/19 Cartain Clebile
2	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JODGE
	CARLA M. WOEHRLE
2	